

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/823,328	03/29/2001	Yusuke Tsutsui		8119
26021 7	590 06/04/2003			
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900			EXAMI	NER
			LE, DINH THANH	
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90071-2611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicatio	plication No. Applicant(s)				
•	Office Action Com	09/823,32	3	TSUTSUI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		DINH T. LE		2816			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Failur - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is sons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statteply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the statut od will apply and will ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	9 May 2003 .					
2a)□		This action is r	on-final				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims		•				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 6-14 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from con	sideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			·			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election red	quirement.				
Application	on Papers						
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)□ Т	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) 🔲 o	bjected to by the Exar	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.			
"	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		e action.				
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been	received.	•			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
_ a)	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional appl	ication has been rece	eived.			
ار دارد. (Attachment		suc priority und	ei 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 :	ang/or 121.			
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5	Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/823,328

Art Unit: 2816

NON-FINAL REJECTION

Response to Applicant's Amendment

The rejection over Figures 1-2 of the applicant's admitted prior art was withdrawn in

view of the arguments present in the amendment.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the

claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the

following is required: the recitation "a control circuit generating a boosted voltage" in claims 6, 8

and 10 lacks antecedent basis in the specification.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

embodiment or can be determined on the drawings and how they can be interconnected to the

driving circuit.

In claim 6, the description of the present invention is incomplete because the "power

supply clock" on line is not connected to anything. Thus, the claimed power supply clock may

not perform the recited function. It is unclear how the recitation ""control circuit generating a

boosted voltage" is read on the preferred embodiment. Insofar as understood, no such limitation

Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

is seen on the drawings. This description is misdescriptive because Figure 4A of the present invention shows that the boosted voltage is VDD2 not the clock 1. This limitation is considered as a new matter because it is not supported in the specification.

In claim 7, the recitation "said circuit" on line 3 lacks clear antecedent basis. The same is true for claims 9-10.

In claim 12, the recitation "at least two charge pump type power supply circuits" is confusing because this unclear if this is additional "supply circuits" or a further recitation "supply circuit" in claim 10. The same is true for reciting "input voltage" in claim 13.

In claim 14, it is unclear how the two boosted voltages" and "two power supply clocks" can be generated, what the "panel" and "driving circuit" are, where they comes from and how they are relevant to the components recited in the claim 6 since they are not connected to anything.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above rejected claims and therefore also considered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,328

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 6 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (b) as being unpatentable over Ito (US 5,859,632).

As the best construed, Ito discloses in Figures 1-5, 8 and 14-17 a circuit comprising LCD (35) and a charge pump circuit having a first switch (SW11, SW14), a second switch (SW12, SW15), a first capacitor (55), a second capacitor (66), and a power supply clock circuit (78, 72, 74, 76) for generating the control signal (CK1, CK2) responsive to the clock signal but does not disclose that the clock signal is generated from a system clock provided from outside of the charge pump. For example, lines 15-24 at page 18 of Ito discloses that the signal (CLOCK SIGNAL) is generated from outside of the charge pump. As well known in the art, the CPU (42) and the digital components in Figure 17 of Ito must be operated with an inherent system clock. It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the clock signal from the inherent clock system of Ito to drive the power supply clock for the purpose of saving components so that the circuit size would be reduced.

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (b) as being unpatentable over Ito (US 5,859,632) in view of Jung (US 5,847,702).

As the best construed, Ito discloses in Figures 1-5, 8 and 14-17 a circuit comprising all of the limitation of the claimed invention as discussed above but does not disclose the means for providing a power save instruction. Jung teaches in Figures 1-4 and 7B a circuit comprising a controller (30) for providing a power down instruction to the charge pump circuit (40) for reducing power consumption. It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at

Application/Control Number: 09/823,328

Art Unit: 2816

the time the invention was made to modified the circuit Ito by employing the power saving mode taught by Jung for the purpose saving power consumption.

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dinh Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-3790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M.to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Callahan, can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7725.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

May 30, 2003

DINH LE

Primary Examiner